

### **Copyright Statement** Please do not remove this slide

## **Important Notice**

This presentation is confidential and copyright © Orchard Information Systems Limited. It is prepared for the sole use of Orchard's customers and should not be disclosed to any third parties (including consultants) without our prior written consent. All other rights are expressly reserved.

For further information on the content of this presentation, please contact your Account Manager or Project Manager.

Confidential and Copyright © Orchard Information Systems Limited 2017, all rights reserved.



# Data-driven housing: connections to projections

Aidan Dunphy, Head of Product Strategy, Orchard John Buckland, Director, in4systems (an Orchard company) 8 March 2017

## **Three horizons of Innovation**





Time



# 1: Incremental Data: the here and now



# Searching for the truth

## THIS IS TRUTH

THIS IS.

TEUS

THIS IS TRUE



# DATA know-nothing

# INFORMATION know-what

# KNOWLEDGE know-how

WISDOM know-why



# **Back to basics: a DIKW pyramid**





### Back to basics: another one (there are many)





## Data: a definition



# Big data?





### **Small to Medium Data**















# Look familiar?









Three risks:
Regulatory (GDPR)
Loss of IP
Lost opportunity









"I'm just going to check if we have any dark data in the cellar..."

# Case study: First Ark 360<sup>0</sup> view of a property

Key:



Orchard



### THERE IS NO UNIVERSAL BUSINESS ADAPTOR







# Data integration is a **PEOPLE PROBLEM**

but is it your people or your suppliers'... ...more later



Standards: A Warning From History:

Housing Technology Standards Board (2008/9)

- Open standards for data transfer
- Wide coverage
- Encourage industry buy-in
- Improve information quality
- Improve procurement
- Reduce lock-in
- Reduce implementation costs

Sector/supplier meeting @ Family Mosaic, Summer 2009...

### Sector data standards: Working in partnership

### CORA/CORA/UK HACT Housing Data Standards





### **Housing Sector Scorecard project**



### Led by **home** group

Operating margin

Increase/decrease in operating margin

**EBITDA** (Major Repairs Included)

Units developed

Units developed (as a percentage of units owned)

Gearing

Customers' value for money satisfaction

Investment in new housing per £1 generated from ops Investment in communities per £1 generated from ops Return on capital employed Occupancy Ratio responsive repairs to planned maintenance spend Headline social housing cost per unit Rent collected Overheads as a percentage of adjusted turnover

### Who wants a database anyway?





Why do I want to pay to download data so that I can fiddle about with it in spreadsheets, when I can just ask Cortana to show me what I want in PowerBI?

John Sammons, Isos, 7pm yesterday in the bar (well that's more or less what he said)



# 2: Next Generation Case Study: Active Asset Management



# **Projecting Performance**

- Active Asset Management Options Appraisal Module
- NPV
- Performance points scoring (AGTs)
- User defined factors
- Integrated with housing management and stock survey data



# **Options Appraisal**

User defined inputs for

- Year ranges
- Discount rate
- Inflation rate
- Evaluation of alternative scenarios for the same property groups



# **NPV Results - Graphical Display**





## **NPV Results - Property Summary**

| 1  | A             | В              | C                  | D              | E         | F                            | G            | H                      | I                 |
|----|---------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------|------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| 1  | Analysis_Date | Scenario       | Analysis           | Location_Group | UPRN      | Address                      | lo_type_code | type                   | Net_Present_Value |
| 2  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C10702855 | 53 Orchard Square Anytown    | 1            | Multi-Storey Flat      | 6102.89           |
| 3  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C10702862 | 54 Orchard Square Anytown    | 1            | Multi-Storey Flat      | 6422.69           |
| 4  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C10702879 | 55 Orchard Square Anytown    | 1            | Multi-Storey Flat      | 8719.22           |
| 5  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C10702886 | 56 Orchard Square Anytown    | 1            | Multi-Storey Flat      | 8761.26           |
| 6  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C10702893 | 57 Orchard Square Anytown    | 1            | Multi-Storey Flat      | -7345.69          |
| 7  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C10702903 | 58 Orchard Square Anytown    | 1            | Multi-Storey Flat      | 6141.14           |
| 8  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C10900839 | 9 Hertford Close Anytown     | 1            | Mid Terraced House     | -3173.84          |
| 9  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C11001500 | 194 Chartley House Anytown   | 1            | Multi-Storey Flat      | 16036.07          |
| 10 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C11002183 | 122 South Lane Anytown       | 1            | Multi-Storey Flat      | 11103.58          |
| 11 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C20401342 | 48 Parkstone Road Anytown    | 1            | End Terraced BungaloW  | 12263.71          |
| 12 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55101253 | 22 East Drive Anytown        | 1            | Semi-Detached House    | 10156.3           |
| 13 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown   | 1            | Detached House         | -25286.51         |
| 14 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C56300307 | 7 Devon Street Anytown       | 1            | Semi-Detached House    | -2755.51          |
| 15 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C56300620 | 6 Dorset Street Anytown      | 1            | Semi-Detached House    | 10108.66          |
| 16 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C56601161 | 102 Park View Street Anytown | 1            | Medium Rise Flat       | 23398.37          |
| 17 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C60406280 | 16 Wilbert Road Anytown      | 1            | Semi Detached Bungalow | 20098.52          |
| 18 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C60602651 | 5 Eastwood Road Anytown      | 1            | Semi-Detached House    | -7675.16          |
|    |               |                |                    |                |           |                              |              |                        |                   |



# **NPV Results - Property Detail**

| 1  | A B           |                | С                  | D              | E         | F                          | G              | Н                        | I                            | J                 |
|----|---------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1  | Analysis_Date | Scenario       | Analysis           | Location_Group | UPRN      | Address                    | type           | Factor_Type              | Factor                       | Net_Present_Value |
| 2  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Management Costs         | Responsive & Voids           | -20,212.74        |
| 3  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Management Costs         | Housing Management           | -10,300.36        |
| 4  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Management Costs         | Central Overheads            | -4,536.49         |
| 5  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Social Factors           | Demand                       | 0.00              |
| 6  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Maintenance              | Gas Servicing & Repair Costs | -2,749.52         |
| 7  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Maintenance              | Responsive Repair Costs      | -37,688.75        |
| 8  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | rent and service charges | Rent                         | 65,608.83         |
| 9  | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Service Cost             | Service and Support Cost     | -2,512.57         |
| 10 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Condition Survey         | ROOFS                        | -204.51           |
| 11 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Condition Survey         | KITCHENS                     | -2,478.56         |
| 12 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Condition Survey         | BATHROOMS                    | -1,170.77         |
| 13 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Condition Survey         | ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION      | -709.01           |
| 14 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Condition Survey         | OTHER SERVICES               | -3,160.36         |
| 15 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Condition Survey         | EXTERNAL WALLS               | -401.82           |
| 16 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Condition Survey         | ANCILLARY STRUCTURES         | -66.56            |
| 17 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Condition Survey         | OUTBUILDINGS                 | -137.86           |
| 18 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Condition Survey         | EXTERNAL AREAS               | -2,947.31         |
| 19 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Condition Survey         | WINDOWS                      | -1,071.68         |
| 20 | 01/03/2017    | No Change 2017 | 30 Years from 2017 | SAP Under 40   | C55103488 | 235 Chorlford Road Anytown | Detached House | Condition Survey         | EXTERNAL DOORS               | -546.47           |
| 21 |               |                |                    |                |           |                            |                |                          |                              | -25,286.51        |
| 22 | 0             |                |                    |                |           |                            |                |                          |                              |                   |

# **GIS Integration**





#### Performance Points Scoring

|                             |  | DEE      | TEAT |  |  | Possible Score Thresholds /10 |                 |         |       |       |                   | TEST                 |       |
|-----------------------------|--|----------|------|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|-------|-------------------|----------------------|-------|
|                             |  | REF      | IESI | TEST DESCRIPTION                         | CALCULATION  | 0                             | 2               | 4       | 6     | 8     | 10                | WEIGHTING            |       |
|                             |  | 1.1      | 1    | Percentage of Properties Void            | Av % of properties becoming void over<br>last 3 years  | >20                           | 16              | 12      | 8     | 4     | <4                | 33.30%               |       |
|                             | GROUP 1 -<br>DEMAND                      | UP1- 1.2 |      | Number of Refusals                       | Refusals as % of offers made (certain<br>categories to be excluded)                          | >75                           | 60              | 45      | 30    | 15    | < <mark>15</mark> | 33.30%               | 100%  |
| SECTION A -                 |  | 1.3      | 3    | Tenancy Length                           | Average length of tenancy to last year<br>end (for tenancies started in the last 7<br>years) | 1                             | 2               | 3       | 4     | 5     | 6+                | 33.30 <mark>%</mark> |       |
| THE CUSTOMER<br>PERSPECTIVE | GROUP 2 -                                | 2.1      | 4    | ASB Cases                                | Average ASB cases as % of tenancies<br>over last 3 years                                     | 20%                           | 16%             | 12%     | 8%    | 4%    | 0%                | 50%                  | 100%  |
|                             | BEHAVIOUR                                | 2.2      | 5    | Rent Arrears                             | Current tenants rent arrears as % of rent<br>due   | 5%                            | <mark>4%</mark> | 3%      | 2%    | 1%    | 0%                | 50%                  | 100%  |
|                             | GROUP 3 -<br>NEIGHBOURHOOD<br>ASSESSMENT | 3.1      | 6    | Deprivation Indices                      | Relative local deprivation score   | То                            | be              | decided | based | on    | data              | 20%                  | 100%  |
|                             |  | 3.2      | 7    | Customer Neighbourhood Perception        | STAR   | 0                             | 2               | 4       | 6     | 8     | 10                | 40%                  |       |
|                             |  | 3.3      | 8    | Staff Neighbourhood Perception           | Graded assessment of perceived<br>neighbourhood sustainability                               | 0                             | 2               | 4       | 6     | 8     | 10                | 40%                  | 100 % |
|                             |  |          |      |  |  |                               |                 |         |       |       |                   |                      |       |
|                             | GROUP 4 -<br>FINANCIAL<br>PERFORMANCE    | 4.1      | 9    | Actual Rent Collected                    | Av. rent collected over last 3 years   | <3k                           | 3.5k            | 4k      | 4.5k  | 5k    | >5k               | 20%                  |       |
|                             |  | 4.2      | 10   | Average Responsive Repairs Spend p.u.p.a | Last 3 years data  | >550                          | 500             | 450     | 400   | 350   | <350              | 20%                  |       |
|                             |  | 4.3      | 11   | Average Voids Spend p.u.p.a              | Last 3 years data  | >250                          | 220             | 190     | 160   | 130   | <130              | 20%                  | 100%  |
|                             |  | 4.4      | 12   | Average Cyclical Spend p.u.p.a           | Last 3 years data  | >300                          | 250             | 200     | 150   | 100   | <100              | 10%                  | 10070 |
|                             |  | 4.5      | 13   | True Management Costs p.u.p.a            | To be based upon local staff assessment  | >800                          | 700             | 600     | 500   | 400   | <400              | 10%                  |       |
|                             |  | 4.6      | 14   | Net Income p.u.p.a                       | Actual rent collected less 4 tests above   | <0                            | 500             | 1,000   | 1,500 | 2,000 | >2,000            | 20%                  |       |
| SECTION B -                 | GROUP 5 - ASSET                          | 5.1      | 15   | Average Planned Cost p.u.p.a - 30 years  | 30 year average  | >1,800                        | 1,600           | 1,400   | 1,200 | 1,000 | <1,000            | 20%                  |       |
| COMMERCIAL                  |  | 5.2      | 16   | Average Planned Cost p.u.p.a - 10 years  | 10 year average  | >1,800                        | 1,600           | 1,400   | 1,200 | 1,000 | <1,000            | 30%                  | 100%  |
| TESTS                       |  | 5.3      | 17   | Average Planned Cost p.u.p.a - 5 years   | 5 year average   | >1,800                        | 1,600           | 1,400   | 1,200 | 1,000 | <1,000            | 50%                  |       |
|                             | GROUP 6 - ASSET<br>PERFORMANCE           | 6.1      | 18   | Energy Performance                       | SAP Rating   | <55                           | 64              | 68      | 75    | 80    | 92+               | 20%                  | 10001 |
|                             |  | 6.2      | 19   | Age of Property                          | Age of Stock (years)   | >100                          | /5              | 50      | 35    | 10    | <10               | 60%                  | 100%  |
|                             | GROUP 7 - ASSET<br>VALUE                 | 6.3      | 20   | Proportion of Bedsit Stock               | Bedsits as % of total units  | >50%                          | 40%             | 30%     | 20%   | 10%   | 0%                | 20%                  |       |
|                             |  | 7.1      | 21   | Open Market Value                        |  | <100k                         | 150K            | 175K    | ZUUK  | 250K  | >300k             | 10%                  |       |
|                             |  | 7.2      | 22   | Existing Use Value                       | LEUV   | <20K                          | 3UK             | 4UK     | 50K   | 6UK   | /UK               | 50%                  | 100%  |
|                             |  | 7.3      | 23   |  | Social Rents as % of Market Rents  | >90%                          | 80%             | 70%     | 60%   | 50%   | <50%              | 20%                  |       |
|                             |  | 7.4      | 24   | rield                                    | % YIEID NEVGROSS?  | 0                             | 3               | 6       | 9     | 12    | >12               | 20%                  |       |



# **Stress Testing**

- Mandated by HCA
- Test financial sensitivities, e.g. Rent Reduction
- Models built into projections in Options
   Appraisal module of Promaster



# Case study: Merseyside-based HA implements active asset management





Stock categorised into 6 groups
Reviewed Annually
No scientific approach
HCA requirements




## Category 1 (95% stock) 30 Year Sustainable Life

- Full investment as outlined within the SCS and Business Plan
- Full repairs service and cyclical / planned works

Category 2 (0.3% stock) 10-15 Year Sustainable Life



#### Category 3 (0.4% stock) 30 Year Sustainable Life Subject to Detailed Feasibility

# Cat 4-5 (2.7% stock) Disposal & land opportunity

Cat 6 (1.6% stock) Asset failing in current form opportunity to refurbish and transfer to commercial / market rent

#### Issues



Inconsistent approach Differences of opinions across departments Delays in making decisions on properties beyond Cat 2 Consultants used for appraisal of Cat 3 and then parked up No evidence to support decisions



Top-down: driven by the Exec Team NPV at individual property level **Demonstration of Options Appraisal** module from In4systems & 2 others VFM as existing system-additional module Implementation test system July 2015

#### **Objectives**



Performance & ave. NPV / neighbourhood
Based on ave. rent / stock condition costs
Analyse by actual cost at property level
Quicker decisions on potential disposals

#### Outcomes



Clear understanding of asset performance
Property level info→disposal decisions
Frees up value driving investment
Underpins strategic re-planning

## Case study: Forecasting responsive repairs experiment



- Housing organisations have a lot of data
  - Very granular
  - Lots of history
- Reporting solutions simplify dev of predictive models
- What we wanted to discover:
  - Can we predict what will happen?
  - How can we save customers time and money?



#### How the scheme works:

 Masters student from Centre for Forecasting works on a 12 week project

#### Lancaster Centre for Forecasting

As Europe's leading centre for forecasting research, we develop applied research with companies, transfer knowledge between academia and business and build best practices in methods, processes and systems.

#### • Win-win:

- Commercial opportunity / R&D outputs for Orchard
- Forms part of the Masters degree course



**Case study** Why Lancaster University - Past projects





- Forecast Segmentation and Benchmarking
- McBride Hierarchy Forecasting and Seasonality



LR Forecasting



- Forecasting Engineer Hours
- Forecasting New Acquisition Calls





- Major area of expenditure for a housing organisation
- Predict volumes→increase efficiency→save money





- Submitted our project to Lancaster University Centre for Forecasting
- Presented to the students
- Students bid on the project
- Jasyn Teoh was allocated
- Anonymised dataset from two customers





#### What were we forecasting?

Total Responsive Repair Cost

|   | No. of Jobs | Total Value | Average Value | Standard<br>Deviation | Мах      | Min     |
|---|-------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|
| A | 102,631     | £19,779,064 | £193          | £1,654                | £438,593 | -£1,000 |
| В | 298,001     | £38,907,200 | £131          | £458                  | £26,378  | -£9,775 |

 Forecast Total Responsive Repair by Volume





#### Which level should we forecast?

- Limited benefit to the business at high level (total jobs)
- Went with repair categories







2012

2014

2016

2010

Monthly Job Number for Electrician

#### Case study Creating Model- Data Exploration

500

300 400

200

2008

E.Repairs\_Num

#### **Trend- Plumber and Electrician Category:**



#### Monthly Job Number for Plumber

### Decreasing trend for

Plumber

Flat dampen trend for

#### Electrician





#### **Seasonality- Plumber and Electrician Category:**



#### Seasonality for Plumber

Period





• Similar seasonality

for both categories



#### How to select model?

- MAPE: current industry benchmark for aggregated forecast (Source: Kolassa, 2008)
  - Automotive, Computer & Technology, Food & Beverage, Consumer Products, Healthcare, Industrial Products, Pharma, Retail, Telco, Others
  - Overall industry benchmark: 13%
  - Best Performing industry is Retail : 7%

#### Case study Creating Model - Modelling





Train : Validate : Test Example A (8 Years) 4 : 2 : 2

Example B (9 Years) 5 : 2 : 2

MAPE calculated independently using Test set



#### What is the current MAPE?







#### How can we improve the accuracy?



#### **Hierarchical Forecasting:**

- Could improve Level 1 forecasting by going more granular
- Example dimensions for Level 2: Heating Type / Locality / Property Class



#### **Reduced error**



#### **Predictive Repairs Review:**



Future considerations

#### So what's going to happen?



- Highest demand should be expected in January 2017
- Average/Year= 373 jobs

#### **Predictive Repairs Review:** Future considerations



#### Next steps?

- Simple steps to improve accuracy
  - Data cleansing
  - Explore more dimensions



## Case study: Predicting rent arrears

Scope



Tools for providers

- Manage payments / UC
- Predict rent arrears
- Tools to chase payment



Tools for tenants

- Self-serve financial management & budgeting
- Build financial confidence / capability





Use of Analytics growing in the sector:

- Risk Analysis
- Predictive Analytics
- Decision management

Current approaches to income management:

- Analyse patterns of rent balances or payments
- Some blending with other risk factors
- Based on internal data



- Rent often <u>not</u> the first bill to go unpaid (water is)
- Some debts trump rent e.g. Council Tax, the man in the leather jacket
- Others trying to get money from your customers: payday lenders, Brighthouse etc.
- A retailer offering credit would want to know about these, why not you?



#### **Consumers come with risk**





- Risk score based on history of payments, debts, defaults (and now rent payment)
- Used for reference by lenders
- Debt recovery, overcommitment, fraud

Arrears 2.0 project



- Cloud analytics
- Reduce rent arrears
- Increase efficiency
- Improve insight

















So what?



#### Smart response

- Profile and understand
- Prioritise intervention
- Automate comms (chatbot/messaging apps)
- Nudge
- Shape services and surface

| 3   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---------|--|--|--|--|
| •• 02-UK 3G   | 01:34  | * 95% 📼 |  |  |  |  |
| Messages Ai   | dan Dunpl  | hy Edit |  |  |  |  |
| This is a pointless text<br>message purely for the<br>purposes of a screenshot. |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| This is a poin<br>message pur<br>purposes of a                                  | Delivered<br>This is a pointless text<br>message purely for the<br>purposes of a screenshot. |         |  |  |  |  |
| (iMessage Send  |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| QWEF  | TY   | JIOP    |  |  |  |  |

## NKOTB: Intent Technologies



#### Intent at a glance

Fast Growing SME founded in 2011







#### 400 000 connected dwellings



40 000 connected things



0 clients







Too much double data entry

Connected things (smart thermostats, lifts, ...) and metering systems

Too much heteregeneous sources for using data in efficient actions

Too much proprietary approach

No APIs



A unique platform to connect all the involved stakeholders of your ecosystem



# The next generation service platform
## FACT: Nobody *Wants* to use software\*



Orchard

\* OK so there are geeks

### The new tools of the trade





# Want a data lake, but got this?



## "WE'RE GONNA DRAIN THE SWAMP!"

# The third horizon: Where is this all going?













#### How a blockchain transaction works







A and B wish to conduct an 'interaction' or 'transaction'.

Cryptographic keys are assigned to the interaction that both A and B hold.

The interaction is broadcast and verified by a distributed network.



chain, creating a permanent 'golden source' of the interaction.



#### The transaction between A and B is completed.

Standard S Chartered

Orchard

- **Distributed ledger**
- Secure
- Unique, unchangeable records
- Doesn't require intermediaries



## Blockchain will globalise (democratise?) data integrity

...and bring challenges



## Whose data? What is privacy? How you can be forgotten? What is truth?\*

# Did you predict the end of this presentation?

## ...Thank you :)